

From: Katy Walker

Sent: 27 July 2022 18:56

To: Licensing <licensing@epsom-ewell.gov.uk>

Subject: Ewell Village Store, 2-6b Rosebery Parade, Ewell, KT17 2EJ - Objection to Premises Licence

Good Afternoon,

I would like to object to this alcohol licence being granted.

and I am concerned what this licence will mean for my home and my way of life. Will I have to deal with, so close to my home loud drunken patrons leaving the premise?

I do not want to have to deal with late night noise, groups of people at 11pm at night making unreasonable noise whilst they purchase alcohol. The last retail unit there had minimal disruption as it closed at a reasonable time.

Kingston Road already deals with a number public nuisance issues from the Eight Bells pub.

We have a selection of shops less than 0.4 miles away that already serve alcohol to the neighbourhood. We do not need another distributor in an area that already has public nuisance issues.

I am really concerned about the increase of crime and disorder that may occur so close to my home and the lack of commercial diversity in the village.

Best wishes

Katy

From: Gregor Walker

Sent: 03 August 2022 11:19

To: Licensing <licensing@epsom-ewell.gov.uk>

Subject: Representation objection to 2-6b Roseberry Parade, KT17 2EJ, Off License

To the Licencing grants division, Epsom and Ewell Council.

I wish to raise a Representation and object to the issuing of an off license to the property at Ewell Village Store (which was previously occupied by a bridal store) at **2-6b Roseberry Parade, KT17 2EJ** on the grounds of the prevention of crime and disorder, and the prevention of public nuisance.

this has an immediate impact on our life, and within a 0.5 mile radius of this location there are at least 10 shops that sell alcohol off licence (Ewell High Street, Stoneleigh Broadway and Ewell bypass) and there are 4 pubs in the village that are open until 11pm or later who sell alcohol. This is already having a public nuisance (anti-social behaviour and drink driving) issue and I am concerned that this will escalate to more serious crimes.

I would be happy for the property to remain a commercial shop that is open until 6pm or so but not to sell alcohol as there is already more than enough opportunities to buy alcohol nearby and an isolated shop like this would more likely lead to an increase unwanted car and foot traffic, loitering and public drinking in the area, which is a personal safety concern for me.

Greg Walker

From: Sophie Cook

Sent: 02 August 2022 19:59

To: Licensing <licensing@epsom-ewell.gov.uk>

Subject: Objection to application for license for 2-6B Rosebery Parade

Good afternoon,

I am writing to formally register my objection to the application for a license for 2-6B Rosebery Parade.

The location of this premises is a residential area and as such the proposed opening hours and utilisation are entirely inappropriate for the area, presenting a significant risk of increased public nuisance and disorder.

I therefore request that this application be denied and a more appropriate use found for this premises with standard shop opening hours of maximum 8:30-6pm.

Thank you in advance. I remain at your disposal for any further information required.

Kind regards,

Sophie Cook

From: Daniel Sanderson
Sent: 19 August 2022 20:56
To: Licensing <licensing@epsom-ewell.gov.uk>
Subject: 2-6b Rosebery Parade

To whom it may concern

I am writing in reference to the planned application for the convenience store at the above address. I find the need for this store unnecessary in this location and the opening times problematic, this area has a large elderly community that enjoys the peace and quiet that Ewell village provides and having yet another convenience store will only attract unwanted high volumes of traffic to a residential area not equipped to cope. This will cause safety problems and being opened till 11pm 7 days a week will add additional sound pollution to a residential area.

The change of use will devalue residential property close to this proposed development which is detrimental to the home owners financial standings especially in light of the current economic landscape, im sure the owner will not reimburse the residents adjacent to compensate the effects of this convenience store.

I categorically dispute this application and will take this further should this application be approved, it's unwanted and not required and the owner has no consideration for the residents at all.

Please turn this application down, it's not needed and certainly not wanted.

Daniel Sanderson