

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G
1	<b>Polling District &amp; Polling Places Review 2022</b>						
2							
3	<b>Ward</b>	<b>Total</b>	<b>No</b>	<b>Summary of representation</b>	<b>EEBC Response</b>	<b>Outcome</b>	
4							
5	<b>Cuddington</b>	<b>2</b>					
6			1	Epsom & Ewell Conservatives, "Plan seems to be what residents would want"	Comments are noted.	No further action.	
7			2	Cuddington Parish Admin - Confirms premises accessible for disabled visitors, with disabled toilet facilities and disabled parking spaces.	Comments are noted.	No further action.	
8	<b>Auriol</b>	<b>1</b>					
9			1	Epsom & Ewell Conservatives, "Alterations seem to make sense"	Comments are noted.	No further action.	
10	<b>Ewell Court</b>	<b>2</b>					
11			1	Epsom & Ewell Conservatives, "Alterations seem to be geographically sensible"	Comments are noted.	No further action.	
12			2	Ruxley Church Admin - Confirm location is available to use as a Polling Station.	Comments are noted.	No further action.	
13	<b>Ruxley</b>	<b>2</b>					
14			1	Epsom & Ewell Conservatives, "Arrangements seem to make sense with the boundary changes"	Comments are noted.	No further action.	

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G
3	Ward	Total	No	Summary of representation	EEBC Response	Outcome	
15			2	Cllr Neil Dallen - Suggests use of Cox Lane building for Ruxley Ward to save Epsom & Ewell High School Polling Station and the crossing of Ruxley Lane for most voters.	The Authority is aware of the effect of having elections at the school and has tried to find a suitable alternative, however this is proving to be difficult. The Cox Lane Centre and Edge Centre have both been visited but their location on the far edge of the Borough is not as central as the school site and there is concern that voters will be less inclined to vote unless living in the immediate/surrounding roads if they have further to travel.	Maintain search for alternative location.	
16	<b>West Ewell</b>	<b>1</b>					
17			1	Epsom & Ewell Conservatives, "These proposals seen sensible with the boundary change"	Comments are noted.	No further action.	
18	<b>Court</b>	<b>1</b>					
19			1	Epsom & Ewell Conservatives, "We have concerns that the properties moved into Court Ward from Town Ward would be better in "FA" Polling District with the rest of Hook Road. As they will have to go through "FA" to get to "FB". This would also reduce the imbalance between electorates. Otherwise the proposals seem sensible.	Not sure what is meant by voters going through FA to get to FB as the boundary for these 2 polling districts do not overlap. The main reason for the Town Ward voters being moved to FB rather than FA is the size of the FA polling venue (Court Recreation Ground Bowling Pavilion), as it is only suitable as a single polling station. The Community & Wellbeing Centre (FB) is more than able to accommodate a double polling station.	No further action.	
20	<b>Stamford</b>	<b>2</b>					

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G
3	Ward	Total	No	Summary of representation	EEBC Response	Outcome	
21			1	Epsom & Ewell Conservatives, "The changes seem to make sense with the boundary changes"	Comments are noted.	No further action.	
22			2	Stamford & Horton Wards Residents Associations - Suggests if the proposed boundary changes go ahead that the Polling Stations in Stamford Ward be reduced to two, namely Christ Church Hall and the Wells Community Centre, due to a large number of dwellings currently in Stamford Ward becoming part of Horton and Town Wards. They suggest the Stamford Ward electors who currently use the Epsom Christian Fellowship Hall Polling Station could use the Christ Church Hall Polling Station instead.	These comments reflect what has already been stated in the review and are confirming agreement with the proposals.	No further action.	
23	<b>Stoneleigh</b>	<b>1</b>					
24			1	Epsom & Ewell Conservatives, "These arrangements make sense"	Comments are noted.	No further action.	
25	<b>Nonsuch</b>	<b>2</b>					
26			1	Epsom & Ewell Conservatives, "We support the changes particularly around the NESCOT Polling Station and also the moving of the boundary to increase the size of the "IB" Polling District"	Comments are noted.	No further action.	
27			2	Head Teacher, Wallace Fields Junior School - Supports that the school not to be used as Polling Station now or ever due to disruption to Pupils, Parents and Carers.	With the proposals to change the polling district boundary and the electorate increases, having the polling place at NESCOT is a much better located option than the school. This also accords with the Council policy of not using schools unless there is no other viable option.	No further action.	
28	<b>Ewell</b>	<b>1</b>					
29			1	Epsom & Ewell Conservatives, "Considering the changes in boundaries. The consolidation makes a lot of sense"	Comments are noted.	No further action.	
30	<b>Town</b>	<b>2</b>					

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G
3	Ward	Total	No	Summary of representation	EEBC Response	Outcome	
31			1	Epsom & Ewell Conservatives, "We have doubts about moving the Hook Road and Adelphi Road area from "KA" to "KC"	The main reason for the Town ward KA voters being moved to KC is to even out the electorate at the polling stations	No further action.	
32			2	Epsom & Ewell Conservatives, "We have doubts about merging of the former GA properties into "KC" as that means residents having to go through the High Street or Station (so through another Polling District). There appears to be no easy pedestrian route.	The main reason for the former GA voters being moved to KC is to even out the electorate at the polling stations and not make the electorate too large to be managed at the Epsom Playhouse	No further action.	
33	<b>College</b>	<b>3</b>					
34			1	Epsom & Ewell Conservatives, "The arrangements seem to make sense with the boundary changes"	Noted	No further action.	
35			2	Cllr Nigel Collin - Polling Station provisions are adequate if arrangements the same as for last local election.	Noted	No further action.	
36			3	College Ward Residents Association - Confirms suitability of Church House (LB) and Alex Rec Pavilion (LA) as future Polling Stations.	Noted	No further action.	
37	<b>Woodcote</b>	<b>1</b>					
38			1	Epsom & Ewell Conservatives, "We support the plans here. But note the concerns in Langley Vale with the Polling Station Access"	Noted	No further action.	
39	<b>Horton</b>	<b>2</b>					

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G
3	Ward	Total	No	Summary of representation	EEBC Response	Outcome	
40			1	Epsom & Ewell Conservatives, "We feel that a second Polling Station in the South of the Ward is essential as Noble Park and parts of Manor Park are too far to walk to Horton Chapel Polling Station. Also the transport links in the South of the Ward by bus go back to the Town centre not northwards. Though it is not favoured, we would suggest either a conversation with the NHS about premises in Noble Park or a temporary Polling Station."	The proposed use of the Horton Arts Centre is considered to be suitable as it is central to the 4 estates that will vote there and the venue is large enough to contain a double polling station. Previously voters in Clarendon Park were in Ruxley ward and had to travel to Epsom & Ewell High School to vote which is considerably further away than the new proposed polling place. Voters in Noble and Manor Park were previously in Stamford Ward and voted at Christ Church Hall which is a similar distance to the proposed polling place. We would not be in favour of providing either temporary units or creating separate polling places/districts without real need. Noble Park currently has just under 750 registered voters so does not warrant a separate polling place.	No further action.	
41			2	Stamford & Horton Wards Residents Associations - Acknowledge that residents of Noble Park and Manor Park who currently use Christ Church Hall Polling Station will use the Horton Arts and Culture building Polling Station in the future.	As with the comments for Stamford Ward these comments reflect what has already been proposed in the review, so are confirming agreement with the proposals.	No further action.	