

Capital Programme Review 2023-24 Project Appraisal Form

COMMITTEE & BID NUMBER

C&W Bid 12 – Disabled Facilities Grant

PROJECT TITLE

DISABLED FACILITIES GRANT (DFG) PROGRAMME

ACCOUNTABLE OFFICER

Officer responsible for project planning and delivery of the scheme. Accountable officers are also responsible for post project review.

ROD BROWN

DETAILS OF PROJECT

Project scope, what is included/excluded in the scheme	<p>The Disabled Facilities Grant (DFG) is a mandatory grant which provides adaptations to enable vulnerable residents to remain in their home independently, thereby reducing the need for hospital services or sheltered housing.</p> <p>Within the scheme we also provide the Discretionary Housing Grants Policy, which provides a greater level of flexibility in delivering adaptations.</p> <p>The project links to our Key Priority of Supporting our Community.</p>
Project outcomes and benefits	<p>The DFG is a mandatory grant and provides adaptations to enable vulnerable residents to maintain independence and remain in their own homes and can prevent the need for NHS services/hospitalisation and/or sheltered housing. Provision of the DFG meets our statutory obligations.</p> <p>In addition, the flexibility of the DFG programme has allowed the introduction of a Discretionary Grants programme which will reach out to an even greater range of vulnerable residents.</p>

FINANCIAL SUMMARY

		Cost of Project £	Comments and detail where necessary. Provide appendices where relevant. Examples of business cases spreadsheets can be found in the Finance Handbook
a	Estimated cost of purchase, works and/or equipment	£785k	The figure has not been confirmed yet, and may be increased or decreased (plus it is expected that it will be permissible to carry-over of the underspend from 2022/23 as per the comments in d. below)
b	Consultancy or other fees		
c	Total Scheme Capital Costs (a+b)	£600K	
d	External Funding Identified (e.g. s106, grants etc.) Please give details, including any unsuccessful funding enquiries you may have	£785K	2014/15 saw the introduction of the Government's Better Care Fund (BCF). The DFG element was protected by way of a ring-fenced grant to each Local Authority without a portion being allocated to Surrey CC. Each Surrey Authority has

Capital Programme Review 2023-24 Project Appraisal Form

	made.		supported the County's costs in delivering Community Services Equipment (CES) which could fall under the DFG such as hoists and ramps. It is expected (subject to allocation) that funding towards the CES will continue in 2023/24. There is no indication at this stage that suggests that any underspend of grant monies will have to be repaid.
e	Net Costs to Council (c-d)		
f	Internal Sources of Capital Funds Identified (e.g. repairs & renewals reserve etc.)	0	
g	Capital Reserves Needed to Finance Bid (e-f)		
h	Annual Ongoing Revenue Additional Savings as a Direct Result of the Project	0	
i	Annual Ongoing Revenue Additional Costs as a Direct Result of the Project		

Year	2023/24 £	2024/25 £	2025/26 £
Spend Profile of Scheme – please identify which year (s) the scheme spend will fall into	£785,000	£785,000 (plus any carry over TBC)	£785,000 (plus any carry over TBC)

REVENUE IMPACT

Can Revenue Implications Be Funded From the Committee Base Budget? – Please give details	None.
--	-------

ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT

Does the scheme meet any of the Council's Climate Change Action Plan targets, and if so, which ones?	None.
--	-------

FOUR YEAR PLAN 2020/24

Is this investment linked to EEBC's Key Themes? If so, say which ones and evidence how. How does project fit within service objectives?	The project supports our theme of Safe and well.
---	--

TIMESCALES

What is the proposed timetable for completion of the project? Give estimated start and finish dates for each stage of the project. These dates will be used as milestones during quarterly budget monitoring to assess performance of project delivery.

Capital Programme Review 2023-24 Project Appraisal Form

		Target Start Date	Target Finish Date
1	Design & Planning	Ongoing	
2	Further Approvals Needed	n/a	
3	Tendering (if necessary)	n/a	
4	Project start date	Ongoing	
5	Project Finish Date	Ongoing	

BASELINE CRITERIA

All capital schemes are assessed against criteria set by the Capital Member Group annually. Bids should meet at least one of these criteria. State which capital criteria(s) for assessing bids are met and why. Leave blank any which are not met.

Spend to Save schemes should meet the following criteria:

- Payback of the amount capital invested within the project within 5 years (10 years for renewable energy projects).
- The return required on capital employed should be linked to the potential cost of borrowing (MRP) rather than potential loss of investment income.
- Risk of not achieving return on investment is low.
- Clear definition of financial cost/benefits of the scheme.

Members may consider schemes with longer paybacks on major spend to save projects going forward, especially those that incur borrowing.

Is there a guarantee of the scheme being fully externally funded and is it classed as a high priority? Please give details of funding streams, including any restrictions on the funding.	Yes. As above.
Is the Scheme a Spend to Save Project? Will investment improve service efficiency including cost savings or income generation? What is the payback in years?	No.
It is mandatory for the Council to provide the scheme? Is investment required to meet Health and Safety or other legislative requirements? If so state which requirements.	Yes. There is a need to ensure that sufficient resources are made available to deliver the mandatory grant programme.

Capital Programme Review 2023-24 Project Appraisal Form

<p>Is this project the minimum scheme required to continue to deliver the services of the Council? - Is investment required for the business continuity of the Council? If so, say how.</p>	<p>Yes, as above. Should the DFG programme not be delivered, there is a risk the Authority would be judicially challenged for failing to meet its statutory obligations.</p>
--	--

ASSET MANAGEMENT PLAN

<p>Is investment identified in the Council's Asset Management Plan?</p>	<p>No.</p>
---	------------

PRIORITISATION

State which **one** of the four prioritisation categories are met and why.

1	<p>Investment essential to meet statutory obligation.</p>	<p>Yes. The DFG is a mandatory grant.</p>
2	<p>Investment Important to achieve Key Priorities.</p>	
3	<p>Investment important to secure service continuity and improvement.</p>	
4	<p>Investment will assist but is not required to meet one of the baseline criteria.</p>	

RISKS ASSOCIATED WITH SCHEME

1	<p>Outline the risks of delivering this project to timetable and budget. (Please do not include risks to the service or asset if project is not approved.)</p>	
2	<p>Are there any risks relating to the availability of resources internally to deliver this project</p>	<p>On the expectation that staffing levels remain constant, then resources are available to deliver the scheme, however, should funding remain at a high level with underspend continued to be rolled over, then additional resources could be considered to extend delivery of the scheme.</p>
3	<p>Consequences of not undertaking this project</p>	<p>As detailed within this appraisal.</p>
4	<p>Alternative Solutions (Other solutions considered – cost and implications)</p>	<p>None.</p>

Capital Programme Review 2023-24 Project Appraisal Form

Is consultation required for this project? Please give details of the who with and when by.	No.
--	-----

Ward(s) affected by the scheme	All
---------------------------------------	-----

Accountable Officer Responsible for Delivery of the Scheme

Name and Signature Rod Brown Date

Whole life revenue costs of capital project

Where savings or budget virements are being used to part fund a project, the relevant budget manager must sign the appraisal form.

Accountable Officers for the revenue implications of the project

Project Manager Name and Signature Date

Revenue Budget Holder Name and Signature Date

Service Accountant Name and Signature Date